

CS205 Review Session #7 Notes

Useful Decompositions

Consider an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} that is symmetric positive semi-definite and has a nullspace of dimension $p < n$. We wish to factor \mathbf{A} into $\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{M}^T$ where the columns of the $n \times (n-p)$ matrix \mathbf{M} form an orthonormal basis for $\text{col}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{row}(\mathbf{A})$. It may help to first consider a concrete example:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{M}^T$$

This particular choice for $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and \mathbf{M} is not necessarily unique. We can also write:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

One way to construct this factorization in general is to compute the spectral decomposition $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{Q}^T$ of \mathbf{A} and trim of some of the unnecessary columns of \mathbf{Q} .

We can show, however, that no matter what method we use to determine $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{M}^T$, if the columns of \mathbf{M} form an orthonormal basis for the column space of \mathbf{A} , $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ must have several desirable properties. In particular:

1. $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ has full rank. To see why this is so, let $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ be an arbitrary vector. Then $\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \text{col}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{row}(\mathbf{A})$. This means that $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{0} \iff \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}$ since the nullspace of a matrix is the orthogonal complement of its row space. This implies that, for general $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \neq \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \neq \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \neq \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \neq \mathbf{0}$$

Therefore $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ has only the trivial nullspace, and thus has full rank.

2. $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ is symmetric. $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{M}^T \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{M}$, which is symmetric since \mathbf{A} is.
3. $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ is positive definite. $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ must be positive semi-definite since $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^T\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}})^T\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \geq 0$. However, $\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = 0$ in general if and only if $\mathbf{x} \in \text{NS}(\mathbf{A})$. Since we chose \mathbf{M} to have columns that form an orthonormal basis for $\text{col}(\mathbf{A})$, $\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \text{col}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{NS}(\mathbf{A})^\perp$ and so $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^T\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} > 0$.
4. The eigenvalues of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ are exactly the non-zero eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} . To see that this is the case, consider an eigenvector $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and its associated eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}$:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\lambda}\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \tilde{\lambda}(\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}})$$

More Subspace Decompositions

Consider any of the (possibly infinite) solutions to the matrix equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ where \mathbf{A} is symmetric. We recall that we can express each such solution as a sum $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_c + \mathbf{x}_n$ where $\mathbf{x}_c \in \text{col}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{row}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathbf{x}_n \in \text{NS}(\mathbf{A})$.

Now, consider a solution that lies entirely within the column space of \mathbf{A} , that is, a solution of the form \mathbf{x}_c where $\mathbf{Ax}_c = \mathbf{b}$.

- (a) \mathbf{x}_r is unique. To see that this is the case, assume that $\mathbf{Ax}_{r1} = \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{Ax}_{r2} = \mathbf{b}$ where $\mathbf{x}_{r1}, \mathbf{x}_{r2} \in \text{col}(\mathbf{A})$. Clearly $(\mathbf{x}_{r1} - \mathbf{x}_{r2}) \in \text{col}(\mathbf{A})$, but we also have that $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_{r1} - \mathbf{x}_{r2}) = \mathbf{Ax}_{r1} - \mathbf{Ax}_{r2} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$, which implies that $(\mathbf{x}_{r1} - \mathbf{x}_{r2}) \in \text{NS}(\mathbf{A})$, yielding a contradiction.
- (b) $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_r + \mathbf{x}_n$ is also a solution to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ for *any* $\mathbf{x}_n \in \text{NS}(\mathbf{A})$. To see that this is true, consider $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_r + \mathbf{x}_n) = \mathbf{Ax}_r + \mathbf{Ax}_n = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{b}$.

Particular Polynomials

The generic form of a quadratic polynomial is:

$$p(x) = c_2x^2 + c_1x + c_0$$

However, deriving a system of equations for the constraints $p'(a) = f'(a), p'(b) = f'(b), p(\frac{a+b}{2}) = f(\frac{a+b}{2})$ for some generic $f(x)$ is quite cumbersome if we express $p(x)$ in this manner.

Instead, we may substitute a different quadratic polynomial $g(x)$ that benefits from the symmetry of the given constraints:

$$g(x) = c_2 \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^2 + c_1 \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) + c_0$$

Remember also that for a non-composite rule, the degree is given as the *maximum* degree of a polynomial that is exactly integrable using the particular rule. Note that it is enough to test exact integrability of the simple monomials $(1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots)$ since, by linearity, any linear combination of exactly integrable functions will be exactly integrable as well.