CS205 - Class 7

Nonlinear Equations Continued

1. We usually solve nonlinear equations with iterative methods: x_1 , x_2 , x_3 ... x_n stopping when the error,

 $e_k = x_k - x_{exact}$, is small, i.e. when $||e_k|| < \varepsilon$.

- a. The **convergence rate** is determined by looking at the equation $||e_{k+1}|| = C||e_k||^r$ with $C \ge 0$ in the limit
	- as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
		- i. If $r = 1$, then we need $C < 1$ to guarantee convergence. In this case we say that the convergence rate is *linear*.
		- ii. If r > 1 we say that the convergence rate is *superlinear.*
		- iii. If $r = 2$ we say that the convergence rate is *quadratic*.
		- iv. These terms state how fast we converge once convergence is occurring. However, there is no guarantee of converging to the root we want, or to any root in general.
			- 1. Moreover, recall that our nonlinear function might be an approximation to what we really want, so how many digits of accuracy we need is important in deciding how fast things need to converge.
- 2. **fixed point iteration** iterate $x_{k+1} = g(x_k)$ to find $x = g(x)$
	- a. *locally convergent* if $|g'(x^*)| < 1$, i.e. if the initial guess x_o is *close enough* to x^* the method will converge
		- i. $e_{k+1} = x_{k+1} x^* = g(x_k) g(x^*) = g'(\theta_k)(x_k x^*) = g'(\theta_k)e_k$ for θ_k between x_k and x^* as determined by the Mean Value Theorem. *Show that if* $g'(x^*) = 0$ and $g''(x)$ is bounded, then *convergence is in fact quadratic*
		- ii. if all the $|g'(\theta_k)| \le C < 1$, then $|e_k| \le C |e_{k-1}| \le C^2 |e_{k-2}|$ $e_k \leq C |e_{k-1}| \leq C^2 |e_{k-2}| \leq \cdots \leq C^k |e_{o}|$ so that as $k \to \infty$, $C^k \to 0$ and $|e_k| \to 0$
	- b. only converges if the initial guess is *close enough* to the solution
	- c. functions may be *very expensive* to evaluate try to evaluate them as few times as possible and save the old values for future use

3. **Newton's method** $x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f(x_k)}$ $'(x_k)$ $x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{J(x_k)}{f(x_k)}$ *k* $x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)}$

- a. careful when $f'(x^*) = 0$, i.e. poorly conditioned
- b. stop when $|f(x_k)| < \varepsilon$ which is equivalent to $|x_{k+1} x_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|f'(x_k)|}$ $x_{k+1} - x$ *f x* $\left| -x_{k} \right| < \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\left| -\mathcal{E}\right|}$
- c. uses the tangent line to estimate the zero *draw a picture of this*
- d. quadratic convergence in general, but only linear convergence for multiple roots.
- e. both $f(x_k)$ and $f'(x_k)$ need to be evaluated each iteration and $f'(x_k)$ may be expensive. Think of *f(x)* possibly being a computer program that is difficult to get derivatives from.

4. **secant method**
$$
x_{k+1} = x_k - f(x_k) \left(\frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})} \right)
$$

- a. Newton method with the slope estimated by a secant line $f'(x_k) \approx \frac{f(x_k) f(x_{k-1})}{f(x_k)}$ $f(x_k) \approx \frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{h}$ $f'(x_k) \approx \frac{f(x_k) - f(x_k)}{g(x_k)}$ $x_k - x$ − − ≈ −
- b. don't need to evaluate $f'(x_k)$
	- i. moreover only one $f(x_k)$ evaluation for each iteration
	- ii. other secant methods that do not use two previous iterates to compute the secant line are twice as costly for function evaluations
	- iii. superlinear convergence with $r = 1.618$
		- 1. almost always beats Newton since only a couple more iterations are needed at half the cost

1

−

k k

- 5. **bisection method** guaranteed to converge to a root in he interval, as opposed to the fixed point iteration methods, e.g. Newton and secant
	- a. find an interval $[a,b]$ with $f(a)f(b) < 0$ that contains the solution

i. note that $f(a) f(b) = 0$ implies $f(a) = 0$ or $f(b) = 0$ and we're done

b. find the midpoint $m = (a + b)/2$, and then if $f(a) f (m) < 0$ set b=m, otherwise set a=m since $f(b) f(m) < 0$,

i. note that $f(m) = 0$ implies we are done

- c. given an error tolerance ε , continue until $b a < \varepsilon$
- d. the interval size decreases by $\frac{1}{2}$ each iteration, so it is linearly convergent with C=1/2
- e. only $f(m)$ needs to be evaluated each iteration
- f. **mixed methods** e.g. bisection for safety and secant for speed

i. start with a bracketing interval $[a, b]$ and set $x_0 = a$ and $x_1 = b$

- ii. apply the secant method to find x_{k+1} from x_k and x_{k-1}
- iii. if $x_{k+1} \in [a,b]$ set $m = x_{k+1}$ and update the bracketing interval [a,b] using the bisection method, that is if $f(a)f(m) < 0$ set b=m, otherwise $f(b)f(m) < 0$ where you set a = m
- iv. if $x_{k+1} \notin [a,b]$ or $f(b) f(a)$ is too small to apply the secant method, apply the bisection method with the usual $m = (a + b)/2$ and then set $x_k = a$ and $x_{k+1} = b$
- *v*. note that $x_{k-1} = a$ and $x_k = b$ implies that x_{k+1} comes from linear interpolation
- vi. needs only one $f(x_k)$ function evaluation per iteration

Readings: Heath 5.6

Systems of Nonlinear Equations

- 6. Let's turn our attention back to systems of nonlinear equations, i.e. $A(x)=b$ or $F(x)=0$.
	- a. Here the **Jacobian** matrix, J(x), is rather useful as a linearization of the nonlinear problem.
	- b. Here we define $J_{ij} = \partial F_i / \partial x_j$, where each equation of F(x)=0 is written individually as $F_i(x) = 0$, and each x_j is the j-th component of the x vector.
	- c. For example, consider $F_1(x) = x_1 + \sin x_2 + 4 = 0$ and $F_2(x) = (x_1)^2 + x_2 = 0$ which can be written in

matrix form as
$$
F(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + \sin x_2 + 4 \\ (x_1)^2 + x_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0
$$
. Then the Jacobian matrix is $J(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cos x_2 \\ 2x_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

- d. Note that $J(x)$, that is J, generally depends on the x vector.
- e. In general, we write $J(x)=F'(x)$ and note that the Jacobian is the multidimensional generalization of $f'(x)$.
- f. Thus conditioning in multiple dimensions depends on the Jacobian matrix just as conditioning for scalars depends on $f'(x)$.
- g. Moreover, we desire a nonsingular J, at least "locally" to the solution, in order to proceed.
- 7. Newton's method for F(x)=0 is $x_{k+1} = x_k J^{-1}(x_k) f(x_k)$.
	- a. Note that $1/f'(x_k)$ is replaced by $J^{-1}(x_k)$.
	- b. Instead of computing the inverse, we solve the linear system $J(x_k) y_k = -f(x_k)$ where $y_k = x_{k+1} x_k$.
		- i. That is, we first solve the linear system to find the increment y_k and then calculate

 $x_{k+1} = x_k + y_k$

- ii. Now one can see why J needs to be nonsingular for x_k "close" to the solution x^* , i.e. because we need to solve the linear system
- c. This can be very computationally expensive since one has to repeatedly solve a linear system.
- d. Also, one might worry about robustness in case *any* one of the linear system solvers fails
- e. *More times than not, one has to repeatedly solve linearly systems, i.e. it's not a one time deal. That's precisely why it is important to look for symmetry, sparsity, and structure in problem formulations.*
- f. There are secant-like methods in multiple dimensions that compute the Jacobian matrix in pieces "on the fly".
	- i. Broyden's Method
		- 1. start with $J_0 = I$
		- 2. solve $J_k y_k = -f(x_k)$ and set $x_{k+1} = x_k + y_k$ as usual

3.
$$
J_{k+1} = J_k + \frac{(F(x_{k+1}) - F(x_k) - J_k y_k) y_k^T}{y_k \cdot y_k}
$$