CS205 Homework #7 Solutions

Problem 1

We have seen the application of the conjugate gradient algorithm on the solution of symmetric, positive definite systems. Now assume that in the system $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, the $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} is symmetric positive semi-definite with a nullspace of dimension p < n. This problem illustrates that one can use a modified version of conjugate gradients to solve this system as well.

1. Prove that we can write A as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{ ilde{A}} \mathbf{M}^T$$

where **M** is an $n \times (n-p)$ matrix with orthonormal columns that form a basis for the column space of **A**, while $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ is an $(n-p) \times (n-p)$ symmetric *positive* definite matrix (no nullspace) [Hint: Use the diagonal form of $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}^T$]

- 2. Let the $n \times n$ matrix **P** be defined as $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^T$. Explain (no formal proof required) why this is a projection matrix and onto what space it projects. How can we compute **P** without knowledge of the eigenvalues-eigenvectors of **A**?
- 3. Show that, in order to have a solution to Ax = b, we must be able to write

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$$

for an appropriate vector $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$

- 4. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ be the solution to the system $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ and explain why $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is unique. Show that any solution to the original system $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ can be written as $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{x}_0$ where \mathbf{x}_0 is in the nullspace of \mathbf{A} .
- 5. Consider the conjugate gradients algorithm for solving $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0} &= \text{initial guess} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{0} &= \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{0} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0} \\ \text{for } k &= 0, 1, \dots, 2 \\ \tilde{\alpha}_{k} &= \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k}}{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{k}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{k}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{k+1} &= \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{k} + \tilde{\alpha}_{k} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{k} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k+1} &= \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k} - \tilde{\alpha}_{k} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{k} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{k+1} &= \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k+1} + \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k+1}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k+1}}{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k}} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{k} \end{aligned}$$

end

Show that we can compute a solution to the original system Ax = b by using the following modification of the algorithm

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} = \text{initial guess}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{0} = \mathbf{r}_{0} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{0})$$
for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2$

$$\alpha_{k} = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{k}^{T}\mathbf{r}_{k}}{\mathbf{s}_{k}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_{k}}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_{k} + \alpha_{k}\mathbf{s}_{k}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{k+1} = \mathbf{r}_{k} - \alpha_{k}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_{k}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{k+1} = \mathbf{r}_{k+1} + \frac{\mathbf{r}_{k+1}^{T}\mathbf{r}_{k+1}}{\mathbf{r}_{k}^{T}\mathbf{r}_{k}}\mathbf{s}_{k}$$

end

[Hint: Show that $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{x}}_k, \mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k, \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{s}}_k, \tilde{\alpha}_k = \alpha_k$]

Solution

1. Since **A** is symmetric and positive definite it can be written as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Q}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} & \mathbf{q}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & & & \\ & \lambda_{2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1}^{T} \\ \mathbf{q}_{2}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n}^{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since **A** has a nullspace of dimension p, exactly n-p of its eigenvalues, say $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$, are nonzero (and positive), while $\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_{k+2} = \cdots = \lambda_n = 0$. Therefore

where the columns of the $n \times (n - p)$ matrix **M** form an orthonormal basis for the column space of **A** (see homework 3, problem 3.5) and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ is symmetric and positive definite since it is diagonal and its diagonal contains only the positive eigenvalues of **A**.

- 2. Since the columns of \mathbf{M} form an orthonormal basis for the column space of \mathbf{A} , the matrix $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^T$ is the projection matrix onto the column space of \mathbf{A} . From homework 2, problem 2.1 (check the solutions) we know that if we have the $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R}$ decomposition of \mathbf{A} , we can get the projection matrix onto the column space of \mathbf{A} as $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^T$. The $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R}$ decomposition can be computed using Gram-Schmidt, without any need to solve for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} . Note that the columns of this \mathbf{Q} are not the eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} , nevertheless the resulting projection matrix is exactly the same.
- 3. For any value of \mathbf{x} the vector $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ lies in the column space of \mathbf{A} (it's a linear combination of its columns with coefficients given by the individual elements of \mathbf{b}). Therefore, in order for $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ to have a solution, \mathbf{b} has to be in the column space of \mathbf{A} as well. Another way to see this is

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{M}^{T}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{M}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{M}^{T}\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$$

4. The matrix \mathbf{A} is positive definite and thus nonsingular, therefore the solution $\mathbf{\tilde{x}}$ to the system $\mathbf{\tilde{A}}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} = \mathbf{\tilde{b}}$ is unique. We know that any solution \mathbf{x} to the original system $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ can be written as $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_{CS} + \mathbf{x}_0$ where \mathbf{x}_{CS} is in the column space of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{x}_0 is in the nullspace (see review session notes). We know that \mathbf{x}_{CS} is unique and since it is in the column space it can be written as $\mathbf{x}_{CS} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{x}}$ where $\mathbf{\tilde{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$. Therefore we have

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} + \mathbf{x}_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{A}}\mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{b}} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{A}}\mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} \Rightarrow$$

 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{b}} = \mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{A}}\mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{M}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{\tilde{b}} = \mathbf{\tilde{A}}\mathbf{\tilde{x}}$

- 5. We will show that each part of the proposed algorithm for solving $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ translates to the corresponding part of the proposed modified algorithm
 - $\mathbf{x}_0 = \text{initial guess}$ $\mathbf{s}_0 = \mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0)$

Since in order to have a solution we must have $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{M} \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$

$$\mathbf{s}_0 = \mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^T(\mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{M}(\tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0) = \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0 = \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_0$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0 = \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{x}_0$ is the initial guess used in the conjugate gradient algorithm for $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$. We can also write the initial guess $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{M}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0 + \mathbf{x}_{NS}$ where \mathbf{x}_{NS} is in the nullspace of \mathbf{A} .

To continue with induction, assume that for i = 0, 1, ..., k we have

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{x}}_i + \mathbf{x}_{NS}, \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_i, \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{s}}_i$$

• For α_k we have

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{r}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_k} = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_k^T \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{M} \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_k}{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_k^T \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{M} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_k} = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_k}{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_k} = \tilde{\alpha}_k$$

• For \mathbf{x}_{k+1} we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{x}}_k + \mathbf{x}_{NS} + \tilde{\alpha}_k \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{s}}_k = \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{\tilde{x}}_k + \tilde{\alpha}_k \mathbf{\tilde{s}}_k) + \mathbf{x}_{NS} = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{x}}_{k+1} + \mathbf{x}_{NS}$$

• For \mathbf{r}_{k+1} we have

$$\mathbf{r}_{k+1} = \mathbf{r}_k - \alpha_k \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k - \alpha_k \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{s}}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k - \tilde{\alpha}_k \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{A}} \mathbf{\tilde{s}}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_{k+1}$$

• For \mathbf{s}_{k+1} we have

$$\mathbf{s}_{k+1} = \mathbf{r}_{k+1} + \frac{\mathbf{r}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{r}_{k+1}}{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{r}_k} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_{k+1} + \frac{\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{\tilde{M}} \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_{k+1}}{\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k^T \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{s}}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_{k+1} + \frac{\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_{k+1}}{\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k^T \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{s}}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{s}}_{k+1} + \frac{\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_{k+1}}{\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k^T \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{s}}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_{k+1} + \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k^T \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_k$$

Therefore our modified algorithm "translates" every step of conjugate gradients for $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$ into an equivalent step for the original system Ax = b

Problem 2

Consider a real function f(x) that is differentiable on an interval [a, b].

- 1. Find a quadratic polynomial g(x) that approximates f(x) on [a, b] in that f'(a) = g'(a), f'(b) = g'(b) and $f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) = g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)$ [Hint: Consider expressing g(x) as a quadratic polynomial of $\left(x \frac{a+b}{2}\right)$].
- 2. Define a numerical quadrature rule for $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ by integrating the interpolant g(x) on [a, b].
- 3. Prove that this integration scheme has degree of accuracy equal to 3.
- 4. Define the corresponding composite quadrature rule for $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ we obtain by subdividing [a, b] into the *n* sub-intervals $\left[a + k\frac{b-a}{n}, a + (k+1)\frac{b-a}{n}\right]$

Solution

1. Let $g(x) = c_2 \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2}\right)^2 + c_1 \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2}\right) + c_0$. Using the given constraints we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} g'(a) = f'(a) \\ g'(b) = f'(b) \\ g(\frac{a+b}{2}) = fa + b2 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_2(a-b) + c_1 = f'(a) \\ c_2(b-a) + c_1 = f'(b) \\ c_0 = f(\frac{a+b}{2}) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_2 = \frac{f'(b) - f'(a)}{2(b-a)} \\ c_1 = \frac{f'(a) + f'(b)}{2} \\ c_0 = f(\frac{a+b}{2}) \end{array} \right\}$$

Thus

$$g(x) = \frac{f'(b) - f'(a)}{2(b-a)} \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{f'(a) + f'(b)}{2} \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)$$

2. We have

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \approx \int_{a}^{b} g(x) dx = \int_{a}^{b} \left[c_{2} \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^{2} + c_{1} \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) + c_{0} \right] dx$$
$$= c_{2} \frac{(b-a)^{3}}{12} + c_{0}(b-a)$$
$$\Rightarrow \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \approx (b-a) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{24} [f'(b) - f'(a)]$$

3. The interpolant used approximates exactly polynomials of degree up to 2, thus the degree of accuracy is at least 2. We also have

$$\int_{a}^{b} x^{3} dx = (b-a) \left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{3} + \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{24} [3b^{2} - 3a^{2}] = \frac{(b-a)(a+b)^{3}}{8} + \frac{(b-a)^{3}(a+b)}{8}$$
$$= \frac{(b-a)(a+b)}{8} [(a+b)^{2} + (a-b)^{2}] = \frac{b^{2} - a^{2}}{4} (b^{2} + a^{2}) = \frac{b^{4} - a^{4}}{4}$$

which is the exact result. To show that the degree of accuracy is exactly 3, we give the counterexample $f(x) = x^4$ on the interval [-a, a]

$$\int_{-a}^{a} x^{4} dx = 2a(0)^{4} + \frac{(2a)^{2}}{24} [4a^{3} + 4a^{3}] = \frac{4}{3}a^{5}$$

which is not the exact result $2/5a^5$. Thus the method is third order accurate.

4. The compositie rule is

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \sum_{k=0}^{a} n - 1 \int_{a+k\frac{b-a}{n}}^{a+(k+1)\frac{b-a}{n}} f(x) \, dx$$

which is approximately

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{b-a}{n} f\left(a + (2k+1)\frac{b-a}{2n}\right) + \frac{(b-a)^2}{24n^2} \left[f'\left(a + (k+1)\frac{b-a}{n}\right) - f'\left(a + k\frac{b-a}{n}\right) \right] \right\}$$

which is

$$\left\{\frac{b-a}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}f\left(a+(2k+1)\frac{b-a}{2n}\right)\right\} + \frac{(b-a)^2}{24n^2}[f'(b)-f'(a)]$$

5. If we know the *exact* value of f'(a) and f'(b) the rule we proved in 4 is third order accurate while only slightly more complex than the midpoint rule and should be prefered. Note that this wouldn't work if we tried to approximate f'(a) and f'(b) from nearby

values of f, since this approximation would have O(h) error leading to an $O(h^3)$ error in the integration formula (same as the midpoint rule).

If we dont know f'(a) and f'(b) and third order accuracy is desired, Simpson's rule is the only option. Nevertheless, if first order accuracy is sufficient (for example if f is very smooth or if the discretization step h is already very small) the midpoint rule is simpler and requires much fewer floating point operations.

Problem 3

The first order divided difference is given by

$$f[x_0, x_1] = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0}$$

When x_0 is close to x_1 we have the approximation

$$f[x_0, x_1] \approx f'\left(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}\right)$$

Now let $z = (x_0 + x_1)/2$, $h = (x_1 - x_0)/2$ then the error is given as

$$E = f[x_0, x_1] - f'\left(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}\right) = \frac{f(z+h) - f(z-h)}{2h} - f'(z)$$

Prove that the error is

$$E = \frac{h^2}{6}f'''(z) + O(h^3)$$

Solution

Expanding f(z-h) and f(z+h) about z by using Taylor's theorem. The taylor expansion about z is

$$f(x) = f(z) + f'(z)(x-z) + \frac{1}{2}f''(z)(x-z)^2 + \frac{1}{6}f'''(z)(x-z)^3 + O((x-z)^4)$$

so we get

$$f(z+h) = f(z) + hf'(z) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(z) + \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(z) + O(h^4)$$

$$f(z-h) = f(z) - hf'(z) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(z) - \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(z) + O(h^4)$$

Subtracting the second equation from the first gives

$$f(z+h) - f(z-h) = 2hf'(z) + \frac{1}{3}h^3 + O(h^4)$$

Dividing through by 2h and rearranging gives

$$\frac{f(z+h) - f(z-h)}{2h} - f'(z) = \frac{h^2}{6}f'''(z) + O(h^3)$$